



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,294	02/05/2002	George Robert Blakley	LYRN002US0	9653
58293	7590	09/12/2006	EXAMINER	
FORTKORT & HOUSTON P.C. 9442 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY ARBORETUM PLAZA ONE, SUITE 500 AUSTIN, TX 78759			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/068,294

Applicant(s)

BLAKLEY ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Pyzocha

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 32-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-34, 36-47, 49 and 50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 35 and 48 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/02/02, 01/21/03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 32-50 are pending.
2. Response filed 08/03/2006 has been received and considered.

***Election/Restrictions***

3. The requirement for restriction put forth in previous actions has been withdrawn and all of claims 32-50 have been examined.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 32, 34, 36-46, 49, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Silverman et al. ("Recent Results on Signature Forgery").

As per claims 32, 38 and 39, Silverman et al. discloses a method for encrypting data comprising choosing a modulus C for modular calculations, wherein the modulus C is selected from the group consisting of (a) w-big and w-heavy, and (b) w-little and

Art Unit: 2137

w-light; and using the modulus to encrypt data (see section 3 pages 3-4).

As per claims 34 and 40-46, Silverman et al. discloses receiving data; and using a modulus  $C$  to encrypt the data, wherein  $C$  is a  $w$ -bit number, wherein the modulus  $C$  is of the form  $2^w - x$ , wherein  $x = \pm L$ , wherein  $L$  is a low Hamming weight odd integer less than  $2^{(w-1)/2}$  and wherein the modulus  $C$  is selected from the group consisting of (a)  $w$ -big and  $w$ -heavy, and (b)  $w$ -little and  $w$ -light; and outputting the encrypted data (see section 3 pages 3-4).

As per claims 36 and 49, Silverman et al. discloses the modulus  $C$  in the form  $2^w + L$  has a Hamming weight close to 1 (see section 3 pages 3-4).

As per claims 37 and 50, Silverman et al. disclose the encrypting of data comprises cryptographic hashing (see section 3 pages 3-4).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. Claims 33 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverman et al. as applied to claims 32 and 40 above, and further in view of Menezes et al. (Handbook of Applied Cryptography).

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 33 and 47, Silverman et al. fails to disclose performing a ring arithmetic function on numbers, including (a) using a residue number multiplication process, (b) convening to a first basis using a mixed radix system, and (c) converting to a second basis using a mixed radix system.

However, Menezes et al. teaches the use of such conversion (see pages 611-612).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform the mixed radix system in the Silverman et al. system.

Motivation to do so would have been that the computations are faster (see Menezes et al pages 611-612).

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claims 35 and 48 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art teaches the use of specific modulus C values but does not teach the specific calculation of modulus C as described in claims 35 and 48, specifically two steps of splitting.

Art Unit: 2137

**Conclusion**

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vanstone et al. (US 6337909 B1) teaches the advantages of using numbers with low hamming weights in encryption schemes.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJP

  
MANUEL L. MOISE  
JURY PATENT EXAMINER